**Report of the Agenda Item Coordinator during WRC-19**

Paul Burford, paul.burford@bigpond.com:

Report Date: 4th Nov 2019

1. Agenda Item 10, *“to recommend to the Council items for inclusion in the agenda for the next WRC, and to give its views on the preliminary agenda for the subsequent conference and on possible agenda items for future conferences, in accordance with Article 7 of the Convention.”*

Revisions to Resolution 86 (REV.WRC-07) Implementation of Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference

1. APT Common Proposals and APT Views for WRC-19 (which has been submitted to WRC-19).

Refer to Document 24/A24-A1.

1. Topics proposed by other regional Groups or ITU Members which are not included in no. 2 above.

Refer to Document 86, submitted to the conference by the Administrations of Cameroon, Djibouti, Egypt, UAE and Jordan

1. Progress of discussion during WRC-19 on the Agenda Item

An input contribution regarding changes to Resolution 86 to limit consideration of issues under Agenda Item 7 to the penultimate meeting of the Responsible Group (i.e. the penultimate meeting of ITU-R WP4A) was submitted to the conference by the African nations mentioned above.  This document was attributed to Committee 5 and attributed to SWG5B1 (Chaired by Jack Wengrynuik).

This document is similar in sentiment to that expressed by the APT Common Proposal on Resolution 86 (document 24/A24-A1/4-5) that has been attributed to Committee 6 and to be considered under WG6B.  As confirmed by the Chair of SWG5B1 in offline discussions this attribution was intentional.

The key difference between the African document and the APT document is that with respect to encouraging limiting consideration of Agenda Item 7 issues to those that have been studied in the ITU-R, the African document has an "invites ITU-R" whereas the APT document is an "resolves to invite future world radiocommunication conferences" .

The Egyptian representative (Mostafa Mousa) introduced Document 86.  Whilst the sentiment of the document was supported there was strong opposition to the proposed changes to this Resolution from Canada, Russia, Sweden.  The reason for this opposition was because the Radiocommunication Assembly (RA) had already dealt with the exact issue under revision to ITU-R Resolution 2-8 and it was under the purvue of the RA to deal with how the ITU-R conducts its studies.

Australia spoke in support of consideration of the African document and noted the work that has yet to commenced under WG6B.  Australia also made a commitment to keep the Egyptian representative informed of the outcomes of WG6B.  It was clear, however, that there was no consensus on the proposed changes to Resolution 86.

The Chair closed discussion and stated that he would provide a report to Committee 6 describing the outcome.

1. Issues which require discussion at APT Coordination Meetings and seek guidance thereafter

In light of the changes made to Resolution 2 which addresses largely the issues raised in the APT Common Proposal, APT members need to consider whether to pursue changes to Resolution 86.

The APT representative will consider changes to the APT Common Proposal Document 24/A24-A1 to reflect the changes made to Resolution 2.

The APT document will be raised in WG6B.