**Focal Point of Resolution 1**

**RELEVANT PROPOSALS ON RESOLUTION 1**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Contributions | Source | Summary of Proposals | Analysis / Suggestions |
| **C-37/A1** | **APT** | -1*bis*.7 ITU‑T implementer's guide1*bis*.7.1 Definition The definition of implementer's guide is found in clause 3.2.1 of Recommendation ITU-T A.13.1*bis*.7.2 AgreementThe procedure for agreement of revised or new implementer's guide is set out in Recommendation ITU-T A.13.1*bis*.7.3 DeletionThe procedure for deletion of implementer's guide is set out in Recommendation ITU-T A.13.1*bis*.8 ITU‑T technical papers or technical reports1*bis*.8.1 DefinitionThe definition of technical paper or technical report is found in clause 3.2.3 of Recommendation ITU-T A.13.1*bis*.8.2 AgreementThe procedure for agreement of revised or new technical paper or technical reports is set out in Recommendation ITU-T A.13.1*bis*.8.3 DeletionThe procedure for deletion of technical paper or technical reports is set out in Recommendation ITU-T A.13.**3.8** SG chairman, vice-chairmen, rapporteurs and editors should be neutral in performing their duties.**4.3*bis*** WTSA shall appoint the chairman and vice-chairmen of TSAG in accordance with Resolution 208 (Dubai, 2018) of the Plenipotentiary Conference. | Modifications on1bis were already agreed by TSAG and reflected in C-24 |
| C-38/A20 | EUR | **2.3.2** All the activities of the Sector shall allow delegates and representatives from Member States and Sector Members to participate as set out in relevant provisions of the Constitution and Convention, including ITU convened and/or funded meetings of regional groups, focus groups, rapporteur groups, symposiums and workshops.**3.8** Study group chairmen shall follow rules of procedure documented in the ITU Constitution and Convention, Resolution 1 and A-Series Recommendations, and will be supported by TSB staff.**3.9** In following all processes and giving due regard to the requirements outlined in WTSA Resolution 1 and A-Series Recommendations, study group chairman, vice-chairman, rapporteurs and editors shall be impartial in performing and discharging their responsibilities.**4.10** TSAG shall be made aware of the non-attendance of chairmen or vice-chairmen of study groups to two consecutive study group meetings, and not withstanding Resolution 208 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, raise the issue with the Member State concerned in an attempt to get participation in these roles in the study group concerned for which the Member State has committed.8.1.1 Selection at a study group meetingAs a general approach, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to numbering, addressing, tariff, charging and accounting questions, and technologies where they have regulatory and/or policy concerns are assumed to follow TAP. Likewise, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to other questions are assumed to follow AAP. However, explicit action at the study group meeting can change the selection from AAP to TAP, and vice versa, if so decided by consensus of the Member States and Sector Members present at the meeting or in accordance with Recommendation ITU-T A.8, clause 5.2, and clause 9.3 of this Resolution. | Need clarification from CEPT about the reason that remove the limitation of participating regional group in 2.3.2Support CEPT’s proposal on 3.8 & 3.9Support CEPT’s proposal on 4.10Need clarification from CEPT the reason that remove the limitation of participating regional group in 8.1.1Not support to use “technologies”, proposed to change “technologies” into “applications and services” |
| C-40/A05 | RCC | SECTION 1**1.1** The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), in undertaking the duties assigned to it in Article 18 of the ITU Constitution, Article 13 of the ITU Convention and the General Rules of conferences, assemblies and meetings of the Union, shall:a) adopt and modify, where necessary, the working methods and procedures for the management of the Sector’s activities;b) consider the reports of study groups on their activities;c) approve, modify or reject draft Recommendations contained in the reports of study groups or submitted by Member States and Sector Members, or make arrangement for the consideration and approval of draft Recommendations by study groups;d) consider, in accordance with Nos. 197H and 197I of the Convention (see also 4.9 below), reports of the Telecommunication Standardization Advisory Group (TSAG), including TSAG reports on the implementation of any specific functions assigned to it by the previous WTSA;e) approve a programme of work[[1]](#footnote-1)1, taking into account the priority, urgency and time-scale for the completion of the studies and the financial implications, arising from the review of:i) existing and new Questions;ii) existing and new resolutions of the Assembly and of the Plenipotentiary Conference; andiii) matters to be carried over to the next study period as proposed in the reports of the study group chairmen to WTSA;f) approve new Questions for study and delete or revise existing Questions in accordance with decisions of study groups communicated in reports from the study group chairmen and/or presentations made to WTSA by ITU Member States or Sector Members;g) in the light of the approved programme of work, decide on the need to maintain, terminate the activity of, or establish a study group (see WTSA Resolution 2) or other groups, as appropriate, and allocate among them the Questions to be studied;h) establish the terms of reference for the other groups mentioned at g) above; such groups shall not adopt Questions or Recommendations;i) appoint chairmen and vice-chairmen of study groups and other groups, as well as two experts to represent ITU-T in the joint ITU Coordination Committee for Terminology (CCT) at the level of vice-chairmen, based on the provisions of Resolution 208 and Resolution 154 of the Plenipotentiary Conference and taking into account the proposals of the meeting of heads of delegation (see 1.10 below);j) consider and approve the report of the Director of the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau (TSB) on the activities of the Sector since the last assembly (see Section 5 below);k) pay particular attention to those telecommunication standardization problems that are of interest to developing countries;l) examine and adopt revised or new WTSA resolutions;m) consider and approve any other documents within its scope or make arrangements for the consideration and approval of these documents by the study groups, as set out elsewhere in this resolution or in other WTSA resolutions, as appropriate;n) consider proposals concerning the admission of entities and organizations as Associates in accordance with Articles 19, 20 and 33 of the Convention, as well as small and medium enterprises, in accordance with Resolution 209 of the Plenipotentiary Conference;**1.1*bis*** If necessary, WTSA may assign temporary authority to TSAG between two consecutive WTSAs to consider and act on matters specified by WTSA. WTSA shall assure itself that the special functions entrusted to TSAG do not require financial expenses exceeding the ITU‑T budget. TSAG may consult with the Director on these matters. TSAG shall report to the next WTSA on its activities on the fulfilment of specific functions assigned to it, pursuant to No. 197I of the Convention and WTSA Resolution 22. Such authority shall terminate when the following WTSA meets, although WTSA may decide to extend it for a specified period.**1.11.1** While WTSA is in session, study group chairmen shall make themselves available to WTSA to supply information on matters which concern their study groups.**1.11.4** In accordance with Resolution 191 of the Plenipotentiary Conference, WTSA identifies areas it has in common with other Sectors where work is to be done and that require internal coordination within ITU.*1bis*.6 Non-normative documents of ITU-TRecommendation ITU‑T A.13 lists the non-normative documents of ITU-T and describes their content and the procedure for accepting/approving, modifying and deleting them. **1.3** Prior to and during the process of developing resolutions which define working methods and identify priority issues, WTSA should take into consideration the following questions: | Reorganized the text, generally support RCC’s proposal on 1.1Suport ATP’s proposal on this issueSupport RCC’s proposal on 1.3 |
|  |  | SECTION 2**2.1.4** A regional group may be established within a study group to deal with Questions and studies of particular interest to a group of Member States and Sector Members in an ITU region/regional telecommunication organization (RTO).**2.3.2** The meetings of study group regional groups shall, in principle, be limited to delegates and representatives of Member States, Sector Members, academia and Associates in the ITU region/RTO. However, a chairman of a study group regional group may invite other participants to attend all or part of a meeting to the extent that these other participants would be eligible to attend the meetings of the full study group. | The intention of the modification should be clarified.RCC’s proposal on 2.3.2 and 2.3.3 can be merged with ARB. |
|  |  | SECTION 3**3.8** The SG chairman shall comply with the provisions of the ITU Constitution, the ITU Convention, the General Rules of conferences, assemblies and meetings of the Union, this Resolution, and ITU-T A-Series Recommendations. Support and advice from TSB staff shall be provided in this regard.**3.9** Chairmen and vice-chairmen of study groups, rapporteurs and editors shall be impartial in the performance of their duties. | Support RCC’s proposal on 3.8 & 3.9 |
|  |  | SECTION 4**4.4** In accordance with 1.1*bis* above, TSAG shall take the necessary steps to consider matters under temporary authority assigned it by WTSA. TSAG may consult with the Director on these matters, if necessary. TSAG should report to the next WTSA on its activities on the fulfilment of specific functions assigned to it, pursuant to No. 197I of the Convention and WTSA Resolution 22.**4.10** TSAG shall be informed in the event of the non-attendance by an SG chairman or vice-chairman at two consecutive SG meetings and shall raise the issue with the Member States or Sector Members concerned in an attempt to ensure their participation in these roles in the study groups. | Support RCC’s proposal on 4.4 and 4.10 |
|  |  | SECTION 5**5.5** The Director shall provide the required liaison between ITU‑T and other Sectors, ITU regional and area offices and the General Secretariat of ITU and with other standards development organizations (SDOs).**5.10** In addition, the Director may, within the limits specified in the Convention, submit to WTSA any report or opinion which would help to improve the work of ITU‑T. In particular, the Director shall submit to WTSA such opinions concerning the organization and terms of reference of the study groups for the next study period as may be considered necessary.**5.11** The Director may consult study group and TSAG chairmen regarding proposals for potential candidates for study group and TSAG chairmen and vice‑chairmen, for consideration by the heads of delegation.**5.18** The Director shall lead the work of two groups, the group on intellectual property rights and the group on the allocation of international numbering resources, which also report to TSAG, and whose mandates are adopted by TSAG. | Support RCC’s proposal on 5.18 |
|  |  | SECTION 6**6.1** Contributions should be submitted not later than one month before the opening of WTSA, and at any event under Resolution 165 of the Plenipotentiary Conference all contributions to WTSA must be submitted not later than 21 calendar days before the opening of WTSA in order to allow for their timely translation and thorough consideration by delegations. TSB shall immediately publish all contributions submitted to WTSA in their original language(s) on the WTSA website, even before their translation into the other official languages of the Union.**6.2** Secretariat documents, including reports from the study groups, TSAG, the Director of TSB, etc., shall be published no later than 35 calendar days before the opening of WTSA in order to ensure timely translation and careful consideration of such documents by delegations.**6.3** Contributions to meetings of study groups, working parties and other groups and TSAG shall be submitted and formatted in accordance with Recommendations ITU‑T A.1 and ITU‑T A.2, respectively.**6.4** Contributions presented at meetings should be introduced and discussed during the meeting, and duly reflected in the meeting report in accordance with the provisions of Recommendation ITU-T A.1. | Discussion and co-ordination with WTSA-C045 on 6.2 specification on the document types. .Support RCC’s proposal on 6.4 |
|  |  | SECTION 7Agreement by a study group to adopt proposed new or revised Questions and to submit them for approval is achieved by reaching consensusThe approval of draft new or revised Questions that have policy or regulatory implications, or about the scope of which there is any doubt, requires formal consultation with Member States. | Option 1 and 4 in appendix of C-24 were included in the new text.Result calculation is similar as TAP.“any doubt” should be clarified.  |
|  |  | SECTION 88.1.1 Selection at a study group meetingAs a general approach, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to questions that have policy or regulatory implications, or to numbering, addressing, tariff, charging and accounting questions, or to questions about the scope of which there is any doubt, are assumed to follow TAP in accordance with Nos. 246D, 246F and 246H of the Convention. Likewise, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to other questions are assumed in general to follow AAP. However, explicit action at the study group meeting can change the selection from AAP to TAP, and vice versa, if so decided by consensus of the Member States and Sector Members present at the meeting.8.1.2 Selection at WTSAAs a general approach, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to questions that have policy or regulatory implications, or to numbering, addressing, tariff, charging and accounting questions, or to questions about the scope of which there is any doubt, are assumed to follow TAP. Likewise, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to other questions are assumed to follow AAP. However, explicit action at WTSA can change the selection from AAP to TAP, and vice versa.**8.3.3** The chairman shall clearly announce the change of approval process at the meeting. This decision shall be reflected in the meeting report and in the ITU-T work programme for the Recommendation in question | “questions” should be clarified.Support RCC’s proposal on 8.3.3 |
|  |  | SECTION 9**9.2.1** Study groups should apply the process described below for seeking the approval of all draft new and revised Recommendations, when they have been developed to a mature state. See Figure 9.1 for the sequence of events.NOTE – A Study Group 3 regional group shall decide on its own to apply this procedure for questions of particular interest to the Member States from that region/RTO, including the establishment of regional tariffs.**9.4.6** If less than 70 per cent of the replies received by the due date support consideration for approval at the study group meeting, the Director shall advise the chairman that consideration of the approval may not proceed at that meeting. (Nevertheless, the study group should consider the information provided under 9.4.4 above.)NOTE – Replies that contain no information regarding the approval or otherwise for authorization to be given for the draft new or revised Recommendation(s) to be considered for approval at the study group meeting shall not be taken into account in the tallies under 9.4.5 and 9.4.6. However, the comments received in such replies shall be submitted to a meeting of the study group in accordance with 9.4.7 below.**9.5.3** After debate at the study group meeting, the decision of the delegations from Member States (see definition in No. 1005 of the Annex to the Constitution) to approve the Recommendation under this approval procedure must be unopposed by the Member States and any Sector Members acting on behalf of Member States under No. 239 of the Convention (but see 9.5.4, regarding reservations, 9.5.5 and 9.5.6).**9.5.7** If objections have been received in accordance with 9.5.3 above and there is no other study group meeting scheduled before WTSA, the chairman of the study group shall forward the text to WTSA.9.8.2 Deletion of Recommendations between WTSAs**9.8.2.1** At a study group meeting it may be agreed to delete a Recommendation, either because it has been superseded by another Recommendation or because it has become obsolete. This agreement must be unopposed by the Member States and any Sector Members acting on behalf of Member States under No. 239 of the Convention. |  Proposal in C-24 is suggested to be supported.This proposal has been reflected in C-24Support RCC’s proposal on 9.5.7Support RCC’s proposal on 9.8.2.1 |
| C-36/A01 | ARB | SECTION 1**1.7** The plenary meeting of a WTSA may set up other committees in accordance with No. 63 of the General Rules of conferences, assemblies and meetings of the Union. The terms of reference should be contained in the establishing resolution, taking into account the distribution of work between the committees should be as balanced as possible. **1.10bis** The heads of delegations can also meet if the need arises and at the invitation of the chairman of the assembly to consider the contributions, resolutions and results of the assembly’s committees in respect of which a consensus has not been reached, with the aim of consulting and coordinating to reach consensus | Merged with RCC’s proposal  |
|  |  | SECTION 2**2.2.4** Considering Article 40 of the ITU Constitution, and in exceptional cases such as the epidemiological telecommunications of exceptional urgency of the World Health Organization, and pursuant to Resolution 32 (Rev. Hammamet, 2016) of the World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly on Strengthening electronic working methods for the work of the ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector, study group and working party meetings might be held using electronic means of communication.2.3 Participation in meetings**2.3.1** Member States and other duly authorized entities pursuant to Article 19 of the Convention, Academia pursuant to Resolution 169 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of Plenipotentiary Conference, and SMEs pursuant to Resolution 209 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of Plenipotentiary Conference**2.3.2** The meetings of regional groups of study groups shall, in principle, be limited to delegates and representatives of Member States, Sector Members, Academia, SMEs and Associates in the region. However, each regional group chairman may invite other participants to attend all or part of the meeting, to the extent that these other participants would be eligible to attend the meetings of the full study group.**2.3.3** ITU members from Academia, SMEs and Associates in the region are authorized to participate in meetings of their respective regional group, without having a role in decision-making, in accordance with Resolution 169 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of the Plenipotentiary Conference on the admission of academia to participate in the work of the Union. **2.3.4** In developing the work programme and schedule of study group meetings, every effort should be made so that the schedule of study group meetings does not coincide with any significant religious periods.**2.3.5** In developing the work programme and schedule of study group meetings, it must be taken into account that study group meetings should not be held in conjunction with the meetings of TSAG, especially if the meetings of the study groups or the meetings of TSAG are held outside ITU headquarters.**2.4.1** All study groups shall meet sufficiently in advance of WTSA for the report of each study group to WTSA to reach administrations of Member States and Sector Members no later than 35 calendar days before the opening of WTSA.**2.4.2** The report of each study group to WTSA should be developed by the study group chairman, with consultation of study group members, and shall include:– a short but comprehensive summary of the results achieved in the study period;– reference to all Recommendations (new or revised) that have been approved by the Member States during the study period, with a statistical analysis of activities per study group Question;– reference to all Recommendations deleted during the study period;– reference to the final text of all draft Recommendations (new or revised) that are forwarded for consideration by WTSA;– the list of new or revised Questions proposed for study; – major obstacles/challenges that faced the study group during the previous study period and suggested measures to overcome these obstacles/challenges;– review of joint coordination activities for which it is the lead study group;– a draft standardization action plan for the following study period. | “epidemiological telecommunications” needs to be clarified.Merged with RCC’s proposal on this issue.Members include Academia, Associate, Sector member and Member State.study group meetings does not coincide with Major national holidaysTSAG meeting should not be held in conjunction with the meetings of SG and other important meetings. |
|  |  | SECTION 3**3.2** Appointment of chairmen and vice‑chairmen shall be based upon the provisions of Resolution 208 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of Plenipotentiary Conference on the appointment and maximum term of office for chairmen and vice-chairmen of Sector advisory groups, study groups and other groups.**3.5** To the extent possible, in accordance with Annex 3 to Resolution 208 (Rev. Dubai, 2018) of Plenipotentiary Conference on Guidelines for appointment of the optimum numbers of vice-chairmen for Sector advisory groups, study groups and other groups | Support ARB’s proposal on 3.2 and 3.5 |
|  |  | SECTION 4**4.6** TSAG shall hold regular scheduled meetings, included on the ITU‑T timetable of meetings. The meetings should take place as necessary, but at least once a year[[2]](#footnote-3)3, and study group meetings should not be held in conjunction with the meetings of TSAG, especially if the meetings of the study groups or the meetings of TSAG are held outside ITU headquarters.**4.6*bis*** In developing the work programme and schedule of TSAG meetings, every effort should be made so that the schedule of TSAG meetings does not coincide with any significant religious periods.**4.9** As per Resolution 154 (Dubai 18, rev.) of the Plenipotentiary Conference report on its activities shall be prepared by TSAG after each meeting. This report is to be made available not longer than three weeks after the closure of the meeting. The report shall be distributed in accordance with normal ITU‑T procedures and to be available in the six official languages of the Union.**4.10** TSAG shall prepare a report for the assembly on the matters assigned to TSAG by the previous WTSA. At its last meeting prior to WTSA, TSAG shall, pursuant to No. 197H of the Convention, prepare a report which summarizes its activities since the previous WTSA no later than 35 calendar days before the opening of WTSA. This report shall offer advice on the allocation of work, and proposals on ITU‑T working methods and on strategies and relations with the other ITU Sectors and Sector Members, as appropriate. The TSAG report to WTSA should also include proposals for WTSA Resolution 2, i.e. the titles of study groups with their responsibilities and mandates. These reports shall be submitted to the assembly by the Director. | Overlap with 2.3.4 and 2.3.5 |
|  |  | SECTION 5**5.10** In addition, the Director may, within the limits specified in the Convention, submit to WTSA any report or proposal which would help to improve the work of ITU‑T, and ways and means to increase the resources of the ITU-T so that WTSA may decide what action to take. In particular, the Director shall submit to WTSA such proposals concerning the organization and terms of reference of the study groups for the next study period as may be considered necessary, and the expected challenges for the implementation of studies and the implementation of WTSA resolutions.**5.12** After the close of WTSA, the Director shall supply administrations of Member States and Sector Members taking part in the activities of ITU‑T and Academia and SMEs of the ITU-T with a list of the study groups and other groups set up by WTSA, indicating the general areas of responsibility and the Questions that have been referred to the various groups for study.**5.12*bis*** Furthermore, the Director shall supply – for information – the relevant international organizations with a list of the study groups and other groups set up by WTSA.**5.13** Participating international organizations, on the basis of 5.12*bis*, are invited to supply these particulars after each WTSA as soon as possible and not later than two months after they have received the Director's circular, and to update them regularly.**5.15** In the interval between WTSAs, the Director is encouraged to adopt actions/process to ensure the productivity of the work of ITU‑T and to maximize its added value to all ITU-T membership and for the good of ICT/telecommunication at large.**5.16** In the interval between WTSAs, the Director, in consultation with study groups chairmen and TSAG chairman, is authorized to guide the work of ITU‑T to bridge gaps resulting from new/emerging technologies and to avoid lagging responds of ITU-T to these technologies. | SME is not a category of member.Support ARB’s proposal on 5.15 and 5.16 |
|  |  | SECTION 6**6.1** Contributions should be submitted not later than one month before the opening of WTSA, and at any event the firm submission deadline for all contributions to WTSA shall be not later than 21 calendar days before the opening of WTSA in order to allow for their timely translation and thorough consideration by delegations. TSB shall immediately publish all contributions submitted to WTSA in their original language(s) on the WTSA website, even before their translation into the other official languages of the Union. | Support ARB’s proposal on 6.1 |
|  |  | SECTION 7**7.1.2** Each proposed Question should be formulated in terms of specific task objective(s) and shall be accompanied by appropriate information as listed in Appendix I to this resolution with the aim of managing as efficiently as possible the scarce ITU resources and optimizing the use of resources. This information should clearly justify the reasons for proposing the Question and indicate the degree of urgency, while taking into account the relationship of the work of other study groups and standardization bodies taking into consideration the needs of developing countries.**7.3.1** At least two months prior to WTSA, TSAG shall meet to consider, review and, where appropriate, recommend changes to Questions for WTSA's consideration, while ensuring that the Questions respond to the overall needs and priorities of the ITU‑T work programme and are duly harmonized to:i) avoid duplication of effort between study groups of the ITU-T; | Support ARB’s proposal on 7.1.2 and 7.3.1 |
|  |  | SECTION 88.1.1 Selection at a study group meetingAs a general approach, ITU‑T Recommendations having explicit content on numbering, or addressing assignments, tariff, charging and accounting questions are assumed to follow TAP. Likewise, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to other questions are assumed to follow AAP. However, explicit action at the study group meeting can change the selection from AAP to TAP, and vice versa, if so decided by consensus of the Member States and Sector Members present at the meeting.When determining whether a new or revised draft Recommendation has explicit policy or regulatory content, particularly related to tariff and accounting issues, study groups should refer to WTSA Resolution 40 (Rev. Hammamet, 2016). 8.1.2 Selection at WTSAAs a general approach, ITU‑T Recommendations having explicit content on numbering, or addressing assignments, tariff, charging and accounting questions are assumed to follow TAP. Likewise, ITU‑T Recommendations relating to other questions are assumed to follow AAP. However, explicit action at WTSA can change the selection from AAP to TAP, and vice versa.8.3 Reconsideration of the selectionAt any time, up to the decision to put a draft new or revised Recommendation into the "Last Call" comment process, the selection can be reconsidered based on the provisions of No. 246D of the Convention. Any request for reconsideration must be submitted as contribution to a study group or working party meeting, accompanied by the reasons for reconsideration of the selection. | Support ARB’s proposal on 8.1.1 and 8.1.2 |
| C-24 | TSAG | considering….*j)* that Resolution 208 of the Plenipotentiary Conference establishes the appointment procedure and maximum term of office for Chairmen and Vice-Chairmen of Sector Advisory Groups, SGs and other groups;*k)* that Resolution 191 of the Plenipotentiary Conference establishes methods and approaches for the coordination of efforts among the three Sectors of the Union,**6.2** ITU secretariat documents shall be submitted no later than 35 days before the opening of WTSA, so as to ensure timely translation and their thorough consideration by delegations,8.3Any agreed change of a Recommendation’s approval process shall be clearly announced at the time by the chairman of the meeting. It shall also be included in the meeting report and in the ITU-T work program for the Recommendation.**9.4.6** If less than 70 per cent of the replies received by the due date support consideration for approval at the SG meeting, the Director shall advise the chairman that consideration of the approval may not proceed at that meeting. (Nevertheless, the SG should consider the information provided under 9.4.4 above.)NOTE – Only those replies that either explicitly support or explicitly do not support consideration for approval at the study group meeting are counted.**9.5.3** After debate at the SG meeting, the decision of the delegations of Member States (see No.1005 in the Annex of the Constitution) to approve the Recommendation under this approval procedure shall be unopposed (but see 9.5.4, regarding reservations, 9.5.5 and 9.5.6). See No. 239 of the Convention.  |  |
| II.2 Options for clarifying the options regarding the use of Member State consultation*Four options have been discussed about how to reconcile this inconsistency (again, no consensus around whether any of these options or a variant of one of these options is the correct way forward):** *Option 1: Keeping the flow of the existing text, limit the application of Member State consultation to the narrow case where, after reaching consensus on a text to send to TSAG for review, the SG fails to reach consensus on text including TSAG recommended changes.*
* *Option 2: Add an explicit path to allow a SG to request TSAG review and advice in a non-consensus situation prior to initiating Member State consultation.*
* *Option 3: Defer all cases of proposed new/revised Questions that do not reach consensus to WTSA.*
* *Option 4: Re-purpose the Member State consultation as a final approval step for new and revised Questions with regulatory and policy implications, in particular, those which relate to tariff and accounting issues, and relevant numbering and addressing plans (“default TAP” Questions).*
 | APT member states need consultation and cooperation to have a common view on this important revision on 7.1.From China’s view, Option 4 is a more proper solution for this issues than other three Options. In accordance with provisions 246 D to 246H of the Convention questions and recommendations having a policy or regulatory implications or where there is any doubt about their scope shall be the subject of a formal consultation of Member States. |
| The text of Ⅱ.3 was developed during the work of the TSAG Rapporteur Group on Working Methods. It has not had full review nor has it reached consensus. Square-bracketed text is shown that corresponds to the first three of the Options discussed in II.2 above. No text has been produced corresponding to Option 4. | Before the text developed, the option should be determined.  |
| C-45 | Canada，US | modify Resolution 1 according to the revisions contained in Appendix I of Document 24-E (reproduced herein). Clauses 2.1.2, 2.3.2, and 2.3.3, to address regional groups of ITU-T Study Groups and align with the legal opinion of the ITU Legal Affairs Unit ([TSAG-TD577](https://www.itu.int/md/T17-TSAG-190923-TD-GEN-0577/en)), as well as the CITEL position for modification of Resolution 54 ([Document 39-E, addendum 15](https://www.itu.int/md/T17-WTSA.20-C-0039/en)).***2.1.2*** *To facilitate their work, SGs may set up a management structure to deal with the tasks assigned to them, which may consist of:**a) Working parties (WP)s or joint working parties (JWP)s(see Recommendation ITU T A.1).**b) Rapporteur groups (see Recommendation ITU T A.1).**c) Joint coordination activities (see Recommendation ITU T A.1).**d) Focus groups (see Recommendation ITU T A.7).**e) Regional groups: a regional group may be established within a SG in accordance with Resolution 54 to deal with regional telecommunication Questions and studies of particular interest to a region. Consistent with Article 43 of the ITU Constitution (No. 194), regional groups shall only meet to settle telecommunications questions which are susceptible of being treated on a regional basis****2.3.2*** *Participation in the meetings of regional groups of SGs shall, in principle, be limited to delegates and representatives from Member States, Sector Members, Associates and Academia of the SG concerned in the region. Member States and Sector Members that do not belong to the region concerned have a constitutional right to attend the relevant regional meetings in an observer capacity.*Clause 8.3, to resolve an inconsistency with Recommendation ITU-T A.8.*The selection process may be changed once the Recommendation has been consented (Recommendation ITU-T A.8, clause 5.2). The selection may not be changed once the Recommendation has been determined (see 9.3.1 below).* | The revision on the participation in the meetings of regional groups of SGs need further discussion with APT members.Regarding 8.3 issues related to policy or regulator can be solved during AAP last call or additional review of WG.Under the two-level collective review mechanism of the WP plenary and the SG plenary, the decision of AAP consent was already formally made. If a member state raises comments involving regulatory and policy issues during the last call, additional review or approval stages, it is recommended that editors and rapporteur should consult with members in the spirit of open and cooperation, the descriptions related to policy and regulatory issues should be deleted, then the issue should be solvable, and there is no need to go through the TAP approval process. |

1. 1 The development of the programme is carried out taking into account proposals from the meeting of the heads of delegations (see 1.10 below). TSAG should consider and recommend changes to the programme of work in accordance with WTSA Resolution 22. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. 3 The Director and the study group chairmen may use the opportunity of these meetings to consider any appropriate measure related to activities described in 4.4 and 5.5. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)